

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Priority	Who
	Date of meeting: 11th October 2016				
1.	Attendees and apologies				
	Present:	Cllr John Thomson (JT), Cllr Simon Killane (SK), Cllr Toby Sturgis (TS), Cllr Chuck Berry (CB), Martin Rose (MR), Ellen Blacker (EB), Owen Gibbs (OG), Elizabeth Threlfall (ET), Graham Morris (GM), John Gundry (JG), Tony Fleming (TF), Charles Cook (CC), Iris Thompson (IT), Peter Hatherell (PH), Arnold Bradley (AB)			
	Apologies:	Roger Budgen,			
2.	Notes of last meeting				
		The minutes of the previous meeting held on 14 th June 2016 were agreed at the Malmesbury Area Board meeting on the 6 th July 2016. The CATG notes can be viewed here <i>Link can be found at</i> <u>Malmesbury Area Board 060716</u>	Minutes Agreed		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

3.	Financial Position				
		The current balance for the Malmesbury CATG as at 3 rd October is £16,508.22	Please note the figure of £16,508.22 includes the sum of £7,000 which has been provisionally allocated to Oaksey as shown on the 3/10/16 finance sheet. See note 4f below.		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes (Priority 1)				
a)	Issue 3963 New footway Holloway Hill Malmesbury (Wychurch Hill)	26/05/16 -Topo survey complete. Pedestrian survey complete. Initial assessment for virtual pavement required. Outline design to be prepared. 14/06/16 - £8,000 allocated . Options to be presented to RB for discussion. To be combined with waiting restriction work currently being undertaken by Jamie Mundy	Continuing design issues due to visibility problems. An outline design has been submitted to RB demonstrating the problem. Virtual pavement cannot be achieved on western side. Further design work required to provide solution but likely to be a more costly scheme which will require a potential substantive bid in 2017/18. Members agreed to progress waiting restrictions on Wychurch Hill at present time. MR warned that significant objections were likely due to the loss of parking. (16+ spaces)	1	MR / Jamie Mundy
b)	Issue 4042 Church Street /Noble Street, Sherston. HGVs demolishing Sherston at Jubilee Triangle	26/05/16 Agreement in principal from Sherston PC. Planter & sign options to be prepared. 14/06/16 - Ongoing consultation with Sherston PC re. Planter and sign options. Once agreed, should be completed within 6-8	Further incident occurred 2 nd Aug 16 resulting in further damage to wall. Meeting with Sherston PC took place on 7 th to October to discuss further options. Scheme has now been amended to	1	MR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		weeks. £1500 Allocated.	include additional kerbing bollard and WL sign. Cost increase from £1500 to £3,000 agreed subject to confirmation of PC contribution.		
c)	<u>Issue 4387</u> Corston main road. Lack of clear and obvious signage warning drivers coming from the Malmesbury	CATG Agreed to allocate as priority 1 scheme Proposed Chevron warning signs on bend. Cost of £1500 agreed. St Paul Malmesbury Without Parish Council to contribute 25% (£400). TBC 26/05/16 -Works package ordered but subsequent issue with bridge deck clearance. Additional costs likely. 14/06/16 - Road marking are complete. Issue with signs due to bridge deck clearance. Bespoke brackets required for mounting to Installation should be within 3-4 weeks of ordering.	Alternate scheme now agreed. Order issued to RIS, awaiting implementation. Issue to be closed and removed from tracker.	1	MR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

d)	<p>Issue 4512</p> <p>Submitted 28/02/16</p> <p>Tetbury Hill, Malmesbury</p>	<p>My daughter was recently knocked over at the pedestrian crossing at the bottom of Tetbury Hill outside the Primary School after a motorist failed to stop at the red traffic light. After making an entry in the school newsletter and a Facebook post on the Make Malmesbury Even Better page urging caution to both pedestrians and motorists I was shocked at how frequently there are near misses at this crossing where vehicles are driving through the red light and for how long this has been an issue. The proximity of the crossing to the roundabout means that motorists are focused on rights of way and often don't see the lights. In addition cars coming down Tetbury Hill towards town are often going too fast.</p> <p>14/06/16 - Crossing referb scheduled 2021. Cost £40k. Travel plan/Safer routes to Schools consultation in progress. Resurfacing of road is needed. Before road markings can be replaced Replacement of school Wig-wags and signage agreed subject to agreement of 30% contribution from MTC. £2000 allocated. Priority 1</p> <p>MR to speak to Peter Binley to discuss re-surfacing issues.</p>	<p>Order placed via Mayrise for replacement LED wig wags. Implementation taking longer than expected but should be before end of Dec 16. Replacement signs ordered and awaiting erection.</p> <p>MR emailed P Binley on 16/08/16 outlining issue with surfacing and road markings. Request for site to be added for MM list for 2017/18. No response to date.</p> <p>Members feel this issue is a priority as its safety related. Cllr to speak to P Binley to urge progress.</p>	1	MR
----	--	--	---	---	----

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p><u>Issue 4561</u></p> <p>Submitted 21/03/16 CATG Callow Hill Cross Roads Brinkworth</p>	<p>TODAY another accident 9.30 Monday 21st March 2016 Traffic presumably traveling too fast before during and after the bend visibility issues road signage and faded white lines action traffic lights required before they are in the Cottage</p>	<p>See Issue 4569 above</p>		<p>MR</p>
--	---	---	-----------------------------	--	-----------

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

f)	<p>Issue <u>4391</u> / <u>4660</u></p> <p>Proposal to CATG for traffic calming measures in Oaksey. Letter, dated May 2016 from Richard Moody, Chair Oaksey Parish Council.</p>	<p>This relates to issues 4391 and 4460 14/06/16</p> <p>Request to dome centre circles at both mini roundabouts in village Carriageway condition poor in places, especially outside Shop. Works could not take place without this issue being addressed first. Resurfacing costs aside, the cost to dome rdbts approx. £4 – £5k Request for drop and go markings outside school (approx. cost £880). . Roundabouts top priority. Check maintenance programme prior to costings.</p> <p>Provisional allocation £7,000 Priority 1</p>	<p>Meeting with representatives from Oaksey PC on 23/09/16. Agreed that comprehensive action plan is required along length of village to enable longer term vision to be realised. Priorities remain area in front of Post office / Mini roundabout and Mini roundabout at Wick Lane.</p> <p>Quote for Topo survey requested 26/09/16. Cost confirmed as £3.5k. Oaksey PC to confirm 30% contribution in due course (£875).</p> <p>Once topo complete overall scheme to be prepared and costs attributed to individual elements. These could be delivered individually, subject to CATG funding or as part of a substantive scheme bid in 2017/18 (subject to CATG approval).</p> <p>Improvement work outside Post Office and School remains focus as both are safety related</p>	1	MR
----	---	--	---	---	----

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

5.	Priority 2 / Other Priority Schemes				
a)	<p><u>Issue 3879</u></p> <p>Station Road, Minety SN16 9QY pedestrian safety, Minety</p>	<p>New footway Request Estimated £30.000+ cost</p> <p>MR to do site visit, liaise with local members/ publican</p> <p>CB to check with Parish amount to contribute.</p> <p>26/05/16 Contact made with Mr Denman Vale of the Inn PH. Site meeting required. Possible land use on same side as PH. Planning application may result in cost covered by successful application. On hold.</p>	<p>No meeting held to date with Mr Denman. MR to contact in due course.</p>	2	MR
b)	<p><u>Issue 4104</u></p> <p>Priority Change at junction of Oaksey Road / Crossing Lane Minety</p>	<p>26/05/16 Site visit made and metro-counts requested.</p> <p><u>Priority 2 scheme</u></p>	<p>Metro count results – Crossing Lane 85th %ile = 33.1mph, Mean = 26.1mph. Total vehicles 2783 (155 AADT)</p> <p>Oaksey Road 85th %ile = 25.5.1mph, Mean = 21.4mph. Total vehicles 5828 (324 AADT) .</p> <p>Busiest route is Oaksey Road. Traffic priority change to be considered by Minety PC in conjunction with consultation with Local residents</p>	2	MR Minety Parish Council
c)	<p><u>Issue 3699</u></p> <p>Road safety concerns about</p>	<p>Metro-count results to be presented to Feb 9th CATG meeting.</p> <p>Metro count shows speeds sufficient to implement 20MPH zone</p>	<p>Priority 2 scheme. Meeting required with Malmesbury TC representative to agree terminal</p>	2	MR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Bristol Street, Malmesbury	<p>extension if required. Issue to be placed on hold for time being.</p> <p>Extension of 20mph zone to be combined with other work, including Gloucester Road to take in possible extension of zone. <u>Priority 2 scheme</u></p>	points due to number of streets involved. Awaiting allocation to Priority 1. Before further work can take place.		
d)	<p><u>Issue 3661</u></p> <p>Dangers. West Street, Great Somerford</p>	<p>Site meeting with Cllr Sturgis required to discuss outstanding issues. MR to arrange meeting with Cllr Sturgis prior to next CATG meeting.</p> <p>14/06/16/ Ditch clearance has removed walkway, Pending clearance of ditch spoil. On hold</p>	Issues resolved. To be closed and removed from tracker		MR
e)	<p><u>Issue 4317</u></p> <p>Burton Hill SN16 0EW. Dangerous levels of speed on A429 in 40 mph speed limit area between Malmesbury PCC and Grange Lane to Startley Seagry</p>	<p>Metro count Requested. To be left on list – further review next meeting.</p> <p>Metro count results: 85th percentile = 51.0mph, Mean speed =44.9mph</p> <p>14/06/16 Results show that the criteria is not met at this stage for CSW. Planned development in 40mph zone will cause concerns, but area does not meet criteria for reduction to 30 mph limit. Carriageway Roundels could be implemented to support 40 limit £2k provisionally allocated subject to MTC approval of 30% contribution.</p> <p>Police enforcement is also required – AB issue. Priority 2</p>	Proposed '40mph roundels (cost £2k) provisionally allocated subject to confirmation of MTC contribution. Issue currently on hold pending further discussion of speed limit changes with RB (MTC). Awaiting allocation to Priority 1	2	MR / RB

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

f)	<p><u>Issue 4260</u></p> <p>The Triangle grid ref ST 930 874. Junction layout at the Triangle War Memorial.</p>	<p>Requested change of priority and removal of carriageway restrictions at the commencement of the 20mph zone. MR to do site visit – review at June CATG</p> <p>Parking Services attend to issue parking tickets. Part of wider scheme to be considered at next meeting See issue 3699</p>	<p>Issue to be developed in conjunction 3669 above. Priority 2. To be delivered alongside issue 3699</p>		MR
g)	<p><u>Issue 4244</u></p> <p>Submitted 23/09/15</p> <p>The Street Little Somerford. Speeding in Little Somerford</p>	<p>Metro count Results as follows</p> <p>85th percentile 41.4mph, Mean speed 34.4mph.</p> <p>14/06/16 - Eligible for CSW. Back to community to action</p>	<p>No action needed. Issue to be closed and removed from tracker</p>		
h)	<p><u>Issue 4600</u></p> <p>Submitted 18/04/16</p> <p>Unsuitable for HGVs Signage Blinks Hill Malmesbury</p>	<p>Very large sometimes articulated lorries are being sent down Blinks Hill by their sat nav instructions. The lorries are being sent from the top of Blinks Hill from the by-pass and are unable to get through as the lane narrows and is steep. They cannot turn round so have to back up cutting up the verges and then reversing back out on to the by-pass which is dangerous. The mess they are leaving is unacceptable.</p> <p>14/06/16 Site visit undertaken. Matter referred to Mark Stansby for assessment. Report to next meeting.</p>	<p>Estimated cost £250.00. TC contribution of £75.00 TBC</p> <p>Work agreed - Priority 1</p>	1.	
i)	<p><u>Issue 4524</u></p> <p>Submitted 07/03/16</p> <p>Install Dropped Kerb Lower High Street</p>	<p>Install Dropped Kerb Lower High Street, by the Memorial Gates</p> <p>Scheme agreed subject to agreement with MTC re. 30% contribution. Estimated cost of £2500. Priority 2</p>	<p>Allocated priority 1, but placed on hold pending confirmation of costs to CATG</p>	1	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

j)	Issue 4466 submitted 04/02/16 Milbourne / Milbourne Lane	Pedestrians presently are required to walk in the highway in the vicinity of the blind right-angled bend in Milbourne Lane Milbourne.	Priority 2 - Costs and plan to next meeting if time permits	2	
6.	New Requests / Issues				
a)	issue 4701 Submitted 22/06/16 Request for Yellow Lines Luckington Village Green	Frequent head on vehicular encounters at Village Green Luckington opposite school caused by one resident having a line-up of trucks and other parking obscuring view... see full issue	Site visit required. WR1 form to be sent out to requester.		
b)	Issue 4677 Submitted 04/06/16 Speeding Along Gloucester Road Malmesbury	Gloucester Road in Malmesbury is a 30 mph zone but cars come racing down that road so feel it needs to be changed to a 20 mph zone instead. Also the volume and heaviness of traffic has made the wall drop slightly and needs to be looked at	Issue already covered by 3699 above		
c)	Issue 4786 (not logged) Requested by Chair A429 Burton Hill	To look into the concerns of vehicular excess speed on the A429, between Priory roundabout and the 40mph and 30mph restrictions towards Corston (Burton Hill area).	This item relates to Issue 4317. See above Meeting and discussion between MR/ RB to consider extension of speed restriction. Parish Council to consider when cost known		
7.	Other items -				
	a) A429 / B4014 Filands - New Roundabout. The 40mph speed restriction signs have been moved further away from the A429 following construction of the roundabout to ensure drivers exit roundabout before seeing the speed restriction. The change has resulted in the eastern most access to the property known as 'Inglenook' now falling outside the extent of the 40mph limit. Legally the length remains within the 40mph limit. The owner of the property Iris Thomson is unhappy and would like the signs to be relocated.				

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Agreed - to obtain speed data in order to identify the extent of problem.</p> <p>b) School advisory 20 mph limits. Query raised by Cllr CB. MR explained these are not automatic for all schools and need to be applied via school travel plan and TAOSJ initiative.</p> <p>c) Weeks Farm, Brinkworth – White line change has yet to be implemented as previously agreed – Agreed - MR to chase.</p> <p>d) Minety – pot hole issues. Agreed - Cllr CB to investigate.</p>
8.	Agreement of Priority 1 schemes
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Issue 3963 New footway Holloway Hill Malmesbury (Wychurch Hill) 2. Issue 4042 Church Street /Noble Street, Sherston. Jubilee Triangle 3. Issue 4512 Tetbury Hill, Malmesbury – Wig Wag / signs 4. Issue 4569 / 4411 / 4561 Callow Hill Cross Roads Brinkworth 5. Issue 4391 / 4660 Traffic calming measures in Oaksey. 6. Issue 4600 Unsuitable for HGVs Signage Blicks Hill Malmesbury 7. Issue 4524 Install Dropped Kerb Lower High Street
9.	Date of Next Meeting: 8th February 2017 6.00pm Malmesbury Library

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Malmesbury Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Martin Rose

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Malmesbury Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Malmesbury Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£15,692.62**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

6.1 There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report